UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,524	09/01/2006	Katsuya Fukase	NGB-16837	6872
	7590 04/02/200 L & CLARK LLP	EXAMINER		
38210 Glenn A	venue	NORRIS, JEREMY C		
WILLOUGHBY, OH 44094-7808			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/02/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/598,524	FUKASE ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeremy C. Norris	2841					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period versiliare to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 De	ecember 2008.						
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<del>'=</del>	, <del> _</del>						
. —	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-31</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-11 and 16-29</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-15,30 and 31</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 November 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> </ul>							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Informal Patent Application							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:							

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* **v.** *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 12-15, 30, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US 6,303,880 B1 (Asai) in view of US 2004/0080052 A1 (Ou).

Art Unit: 2841

Asai discloses, referring primarily to figures 5A-C, a circuit board in which a circuit portion is formed on an insulating substrate (6) by a conductive layer (3") and there provided a through hole having an internal wall covered or filled with the conductive layer, wherein a land (represented by length "X") of the through hole is formed continuously like a concentric circle with respect to the hole, and a land width from the reference point is 0 to 40µm (example 2 as shown in Table 2, col. 18, lines 30-55). Asai does not specifically disclose that a maximum height of the conductive layer in a non-coupling portion of the land is equal to or greater than 5µm with a corner portion of the insulating substrate set to be a reference point and is equal to or smaller than a thickness of the conductive layer in a circuit portion [claim 12]. However, it is well known in the art to form conductor pattern thicknesses equal to or greater than 5 µm as evidenced by Ou ([0027]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to form the conductive layer in Asai thicker than 5 µm as is known in the art and evidenced by Ou. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide a conductive layer sufficient for transmitting signals.

Additionally, the modified invention of Asai teaches wherein a difference between maximum and minimum values of the land width is equal to or smaller than 8µm (width is constant, thus the difference = 0µm) [claims 13, 30], wherein a sectional shape of the conductive layer in the circuit portion is different from that of the conductive layer in the land portion (figure 3A) [claim 14], wherein a portion having a maximum height is present within a range from the internal wall of the hole to a thickness of the conductive layer in the hole in the conductive layer of the land (figure 5A) [claims 15, 31].

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12-15, 30, and 31 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeremy C. Norris whose telephone number is (571)272-1932. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean A. Reichard can be reached on 571-272-1984. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,524 Page 5

Art Unit: 2841

Primary Examiner Art Unit 2841

/Jeremy C. Norris/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2841